

Smbc-comics.com

## The Story So Far ...

- •Quality assurance is critical to software engineering.
  - Static and dynamic QA approaches are common
- **Defect reports** are *tracked* and *assigned* to developers for *resolution*
- •Modern software is so **huge** that simple debugging approaches *do not work*
- •How should we intelligently and scalably approach debugging?

## **One-Slide Summary**

- Delta debugging is an automated debugging approach that finds a minimal interesting subset of a given set. It is very efficient.
- •Delta debugging is based on **divide-and-conquer** and relies heavily on critical assumptions (**monotonicity**, **unambiguity**, and **consistency**).
- It can be used to find which code changes cause a bug, to minimize failure-inducing inputs, and even to find harmful thread schedules.

## Debugging Case Study

- •Consider this deployment pipeline: Git Server to Jenkins to GlassFish application server
  - You have a known-valid test input (NetBeans git commit) that leads to an **incorrect** WAR file
  - What would you do to determine which pipeline stage has the bug?



## **Real Life Motivation**



- •Mozilla developers had a large number of open bug reports in the queue that were not even simplified
- •The Mozilla engineers "faced imminent doom"
- Netscape product management sent out the Mozilla Bug-A-Thon call for volunteers: people who would help simplify bug reports.
  - Simplify → turn bug reports into minimal test cases, where each part of the input matters

https://www-archive.mozilla.org/newlayout/bugathon.html

## Minimizing a Mozilla Bug

- We want something that can simplify this large HTML input to just "<SELECT>" which causes the crash
- •Each character in "SELECT" is relevant (see 20-26)

1 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 2 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> 3 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> V 4 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> V 5 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 6 <SELECT NAME="priority", MULTIPLE SIZE=7> X 7 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 8 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> / 9 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✓ 10 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 11 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> 12 <SELECT, NAME="priority", MULTIPLE, SIZE=7> V 13 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✓ 14 <SELECT NAME="priority", MULTIPLE, SIZE=7> V 15 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> V 16 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 17 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 18 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X 19 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> V 20 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 21 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 22 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> V 23 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 24 <SELECT\_NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 25 <SELECT NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> ✔ 26 <SELECT NAME="priority"\_MULTIPLE\_SIZE=7> X

Often people who encounter a bug spend a lot of time investigating which changes to the input file will make the bug go away and which changes will not affect it. – Richard Stallman, Using and Porting GNU CC



## Delta Debugging

- •Three Problems: One Common Approach
  - (1) Simplifying Failure-Inducing Input
  - (2) Isolating Failure-Inducing Thread Schedules
  - (3) Identifying Failure-Inducing Code Changes



## (1) Failure-Inducing Input

#### •Having a test input may not be enough

• Even if you know the suspicious code, the input may be too large to step through

## •This HTML input makes a version of Mozilla crash. Which portion is relevant?

```
<SELECT NAME="op_sys" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
<OPTION VALUE="All">All<OPTION VALUE="Windows 3.1">Windows 3.1<OPTION VALUE="Windows 95">Windows 95OPTION VALUE="Windows
98">Windows 98<OPTION VALUE="Windows ME">Windows ME<OPTION VALUE="Windows 2000">Windows 2000<OPTION VALUE="Windows
NT">Windows NT<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 7">Mac System 7<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 7.5">Mac System 7.5<OPTION VALUE="Mac
System 7.6.1">Mac System 7.6.1<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.0">Mac System 8.0<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.5">Mac System 8.5">Mac System 8.6
8.5<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 8.6">Mac System 8.6<OPTION VALUE="Mac System 9.x">Mac System 9.x<OPTION VALUE="MacOS X">MacOS
X<OPTION VALUE="Linux">Linux<OPTION VALUE="BSDI">BSDI<OPTION VALUE="FreeBSD">FreeBSD<OPTION VALUE="NetBSD">NetBSD<OPTION
VALUE="OpenBSD">OpenBSD<OPTION VALUE="AIX">AIX<OPTION VALUE="BeOS">BeOS<OPTION VALUE="HP-UX">HP-UX<OPTION
VALUE="IRIX">IRIX<OPTION VALUE="Neutrino">Neutrino<OPTION VALUE="OpenVMS">OpenVMS<OPTION VALUE="OS/2">OS/2<OPTION
VALUE="OSF/1">OSF/1<OPTION VALUE="Solaris">Solaris<OPTION VALUE="SunOS">SunOS<OPTION VALUE="other">other</SELECT>
<SELECT NAME="priority" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
<OPTION VALUE="--">--<OPTION VALUE="P1">P1<OPTION VALUE="P2">P2<OPTION VALUE="P3">P3<OPTION VALUE="P4">P4<OPTION</pre>
VALUE="P5">P5</SELECT>
<SELECT NAME="bug_severity" MULTIPLE SIZE=7>
<OPTION VALUE="blocker">blockerOPTION VALUE="criticalOPTION VALUE="major">majorOPTION
VALUE="normal">normal<OPTION VALUE="minor">minor<OPTION VALUE="trivial">trivial<OPTION VALUE="enhancement">enhancement</SELECT>
```

## (2) Thread Scheduling

- Multithreaded programs can be non-deterministic
  - Can we find simple, bug-inducing thread schedules?





## (3) Code Changes

- •A new version of GDB has a UI bug
  - The old version does not have that bug
- 178,000 lines of code have been modified between the two versions
  - Where is the bug?
  - These days: continuous integration testing helps
    - ... but does not totally solve this. Why?

```
diff -r gdb-4.16/gdb/infcmd.c gdb-4.17/gdb/infcmd.c
1239c1278
< "Set arguments to give program being debugged when it is started.\n\
---
> "Set argument list to give program being debugged when it is started.\n\
```

## What is a Difference?

- •With respect to debugging, a difference is a change (in the program configuration or state) that may lead to alternate observations
  - Difference in the **input**: different character or bit in the input stream
  - Difference in thread schedule: difference in the time before a given thread preemption is performed
  - Difference in code: different statements or expressions in two versions of a program
  - Difference in program **state**: different values of internal variables

## **Unified Solution**

- •Abstract Debugging Problem:
  - Find which part of something (= which input, which change, etc.) determines the failure
  - "Find the smallest subset of a given set that is still interesting"

### Divide and Conquer

• Applied to: working and failing inputs, code versions, thread schedules, program states, etc.

## Yesterday, My Program Worked Today, It Does Not



- •We will iteratively
  - Hypothesize that a small subset is interesting
    - Example: change set {1,3,8} causes the bug
  - Run tests to falsify that hypothesis how?

## Delta Debugging (Interface)

•Given

- A set C =  $\{c_1, ..., c_n\}$  (of changes)
- A function *Interesting* : a (sub)set of changes  $\rightarrow$  Yes or No
- We know: Interesting(C) = Yes, Interesting( {} ) = No
- We require: Interesting is monotonic, unambiguous and consistent (more on these later)
- •The delta debugging algorithm returns a *minimal* "Interesting" subset M of C:
  - Interesting(M) = Yes
  - Forall m in M, Interesting(M \ {m}) = No

## Example Use of Delta Debugging



- •C = the set of *n* changes
- Interesting(X) = "Apply the changes in X to Yesterday's version and compile. Run the result on the test."
  - If it fails, return "Yes" (X is an interesting failure-inducing change set),
  - otherwise return "No" (X is too small and does not induce the failure)

## Naïve Approach

- •We could just try **all subsets** of C to find the smallest one that is Interesting
  - Problem: if |C| = N, this takes  $2^{N}$  time
  - Recall: real-world software is huge
- •We want a polynomial-time solution
  - Ideally one that is more like log(N)
  - Or we'll loop "forever"



## Algorithm Candidate

/\* Precondition: Interesting( $\{c_1 \dots c_n\}$ ) = Yes \*/ **DD**({ $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting(P1) = Yes then return DD(P1) else return DD(P2)

So far, this is just binary search!

This works if the minimal interesting set is of size 1.

## Useful Assumptions

- •Any subset of changes may be Interesting
  - Not just singleton subsets of size 1 (cf. binary search)
- Interesting is Monotonic
  - Interesting(X)  $\rightarrow$  Interesting(X  $\cup$  {c})
- Interesting is Unambiguous
  - Interesting(X) & Interesting(Y)  $\rightarrow$  Interesting(X  $\cap$  Y)
- Interesting is Consistent
  - Interesting(X) = Yes or Interesting(X) = No
  - (Some formulations: Interesting(X) = Unknown)

## Delta Debugging Insights

- Basic Binary Search
  - Divide C into P1 and P2
  - If Interesting(P1) = Yes then recurse on P1
  - If Interesting(P2) = Yes then recurse on P2
- At most one case can apply (by Unambiguous)
- •By Consistency, the only other possibility is
  - (Interesting(P1) = No) and (Interesting(P2) = No)
  - What happens in such a case?

		Yes	No		
ing(P1)	Yes	Not here!	X		
Interest	No	X	X		

## Interference: Interesting(P1) = No *and* Interesting(P2) = No

- •By Monotonicity
  - If Interesting(P1) = No and Interesting(P2) = No
  - Then no subset of P1 alone or subset of P2 alone is Interesting
- •So the Interesting subset must use a combination of elements from P1 and P2
- •In Delta Debugging, this is called interference
  - Basic binary search does *not* have to contend with this issue

## Interference Insight

(hardest part of this lecture?)

•Consider P1

D1 D2

**P1** 

- Find a minimal subset D2 of P2
- Such that Interesting(P1 ∪ D2) = Yes

### •Consider P2

- Find a minimal subset D1 of P1
- Such that Interesting(P2 UD1) = Yes
- •Then by Unambiguous
  - Interesting((P1∪D2) ∩ (P2∪D1)) =
     Interesting(D1∪ D2) is also minimal

P7

### <u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting?</u>

- Example: Use DD to find the smallest interesting subset of {1, ..., 8}
- What do you think DD will do here? List the first step.

**DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)

<u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting?</u> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 First Step: Partition C = {1, ..., 8} into  $P1 = \{1, ..., 4\} and P2 = \{5, ..., 8\}$  **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting(P ∪ P1) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)

**<u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting?</u>** 1 2 3 4 <u>???</u> 5 6 7 8 ??? Second Step: Test P1 and P2

**DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)



Interference! Sub-Step: Find minimal subset D1 of P1 such that Interesting(D1 + P2)

1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	Interesting?				
1	2	3	4					No				
				5	6	7	8	No				
				K								
	Interference! Sub-Step:											

Find minimal subset D1 of P1

**DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2) such that Interesting(D1 + P2)

1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	5	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	Interesting a
1	2	3	4					No
				5	6	7	8	No
1	2			5	6	7	8	???

Interference! Sub-Step: Find minimal subset D1 of P1 such that Interesting(D1 + P2) **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)

1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	Interesting?
1	2	3	4					No
				5	6	7	8	No
1	2			5	6	7	8	No
				-		∕ Int	er Fi su	ference! Sub-Step: nd minimal subset D1 of P1 Ich that Interesting(D1 + P2)

### Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8} **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) =

1	2	3	4	5	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u> 8	<b>Interesting?</b>	if n = 1 then return {c <sub>1</sub> }
1	- 2	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>				No	let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ }
<b>–</b>	Ζ	J	4					let P2 = {c <sub>n/2+1</sub> ,, c <sub>n</sub> }
				5	6	78	No	if <mark>Interesting</mark> (P∪P1) = Yes
1	2			5	6 7	78	Νο	then return DD(P, P1)
		2	Δ		<b>c</b> -	7 0	22	if Interesting(P ∪ P2) = Yes
	<u> </u>	3	4	J5	6	/ 8	? ?	then return DD(P, P2)
			Ir	nte	rfe	ren	ce! Sub-Step:	else return DD(P∪P2, P1)
				F	in	d m	inimal subset	D1 of P1 UDD(PUP1, P2)
				S	SUC	h th	at Interesting	(D1 + P2) 38

## Example: $\{3,6\}$ Is Smallest Interesting Subset of $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$

											T and the second s	n
ſ	1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	5	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Intere</u>	sting?	if n = 1 ther	ו return {c <sub>1</sub> }
	1	- ר	2	<u> </u>		_	_	_	No		let P1 = {c <sub>1</sub> ,	c <sub>n/2</sub> }
	Ŧ	Ζ	5	4					NU		let P2 = {c <sub>n/2</sub>	$_{2+1}, \ldots, c_{n}$
					5	6	7	8	No		if Interestin	g(P∪P1) = Yes
	1	2			5	6	7	8	No	Are we	then retu	ırn DD(P, P1)
	-	-		-		0	, _	0		done?	if Interestin	g(P ∪ P2) = Yes
L			3	4	5	6	/	8	Yes	done.	then retu	ırn DD(P, P2)
			K			nt	er	fei	rence!	Sub-Step:	else return	DD(P∪P2, P1)
							Fi	inc	l minir	nal subset [	D1 of P1	∪DD(P∪P1, P2)
	such that Interesting(D1 + P2)											

1	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	Interesting?
1	2	3	4					No
				5	6	7	8	No
1	2			5	6	7	8	No
		3	4	5	6	7	8	Yes
		3		5	6	7	8	??

1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<b>Interesting?</b>
1	2	3	4					No
				5	6	7	8	No
1	2			5	6	7	8	No
		3	4	5	6	7	8	Yes
		3		5	6	7	8	Yes
L					D	1 =	= {	Are we3}done?

**DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)

#### Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8} $DD(P, \{c_1, ..., c_n\}) =$

	1	2	2	Л	] ⊑	6	7	Q	nto	rocting?	if $n = 1$ ther	۱ return {c <sub>1</sub> }
	≛	4	<u> </u>	4	-	<u>U</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>o</u> <u>i</u>	IIIE	<u>esting:</u>	let P1 = {c <sub>1</sub> ,	c <sub>n/2</sub> }
	1	2	3	4				ſ	No		let P2 = $\{c_{n/2}\}$	<sub>2+1</sub> ,, c <sub>n</sub> }
					5	6	7	8	No		if Interestin	<mark>g</mark> (P∪P1) = Yes
						-	-	••••			then retu	rn DD(P, P1)
l											if Interestin	<mark>g</mark> (P∪P2) = Yes
l											then retu	rn DD(P, P2)
1			2		_	6	7	0 \			else return	DD(P∪P2, P1)
			5		כן	D	/	Ŏ	res	luct one half		∪DD(P∪P1, P2)
						D	1 =	= {3	}	Need second	half!	/12

Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8}  $DD(P, \{c_1, ..., c_n\}) =$ 

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 Interesting?

 1
 2
 3
 4
 No
 No

 5
 6
 7
 8
 No

 D1 = 
$$\{3\}$$

 Now find D2!

if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2)

Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8} **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting? if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } 1234 No let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } 5 6 7 8 if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting(P U P2) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$ 1 2 3 4 5 6 ?? D1 = {3}  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2) Now find D2!

Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8} **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting? let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } 1234 No let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } 5 6 7 8 if Interesting( $P \cup P1$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$ 1 2 3 4 5 6 Yes  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2) What's next?

Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset of {1, ..., 8} **DD**(P, { $c_1, ..., c_n$ }) = <u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting?</u> if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ 1234 let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } No let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } 78 No 6 if Interesting(P ∪ P1) = Yes then return DD(P, P1) if Interesting(P U P2) = Yes then return DD(P, P2) 1 2 3 4 5 6 Yes else return  $DD(P \cup P2, P1)$  $D1 = {3}$  $\cup$  DD(P  $\cup$  P1, P2) 1 2 3 4 5 No  $D2 = \{6\}$ 1 2 3 Yes 4

#### Example: $\{3,6\}$ Is Smallest Interesting Subset of $\{1, ..., 8\}$ <u>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Interesting?</u> 1 2 3 4 No 5 6 7 8 No D1 = $\{3\}$ D2 = $\{6\}$

**3** 5 6 7 8 Yes

Yes

1234 6

E	Example: {3,6} Is Smallest Interesting Subset													
0	of $\{1,, 8\}$ DD(P, $\{c_1,, c_n\}$ ) =													
<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	if n = 1 ther	n return {c <sub>1</sub> }								
1	2	3	4					No		let P1 = {c <sub>1</sub> ,	c <sub>n/2</sub> }			
				5	6	7	8	No		let P2 = $\{c_{n/2}\}$	<sub>2+1</sub> ,, c <sub>n</sub> }			
1	2			5	6	7	8	No		if Interestin	<mark>g</mark> (P∪P1) = Yes			
		3	4	5	6	7	8	Yes		then retu	rn DD(P, P1)			
		2	-	5	6	7	8	Ves	$D1 = {3}$	if Interestin	<mark>g</mark> (P∪P2) = Yes			
				5	U	/	0	103	$DZ = \{6\}$	then retu	rn DD(P, P2)			
1	2	3	4	5	6			Yes		else return	DD(P∪P2, P1)			
1	2	3	4	5				No	Final Answe	r:	∪DD(P∪P1, P2)			
1	2	3	4		6			Yes	{3, 6}		48			

## Delta Debugging Algorithm

Initially, empty set; but during run, not empty DD(P, { $c_1$ , ...,  $c_n$ }) = *Precondition*: P is not interesting, but P U { $c_1$ , ...,  $c_n$ } is if n = 1 then return  $\{c_1\}$ let P1 = { $c_1, \dots c_{n/2}$ } let P2 = { $c_{n/2+1}, ..., c_n$ } if Interesting  $(P \cup P1) = Yes$  then: return DD(P, P1)if Interesting( $P \cup P2$ ) = Yes then: return DD(P, P2) else: return DD(PUP2, P1)UDD(PUP1, P2)

*Postcondition*: minimal subset of  $\{c_1, ..., c_n\}$  such that "P U this subset" is interesting

## Algorithmic Complexity

- If a single change induces the failure
  - DD is logarithmic: 2 \* log |C|
  - Why?
- •Otherwise, DD is linear
  - Assuming constant time per "Interesting" check
  - Is this realistic?
- •If Interesting can return Unknown
  - DD is quadratic:  $|C|^2 + 3|C|$
  - If all tests are Unknown except last one (unlikely)

## **Questioning Assumptions**

(assumptions are restated here for convenience)

- •All three key assumptions are questionable
- Interesting is Monotonic
  - Interesting(X)  $\rightarrow$  Interesting(X  $\cup$  {c})
- Interesting is Unambiguous
  - Interesting(X) & Interesting(Y)  $\rightarrow$  Interesting(X  $\cap$  Y)
- Interesting is Consistent
  - Interesting(X) = Yes or Interesting(X) = No
  - (Some formulations: Interesting(X) = Unknown)

### Not Monotonic

- •Monotonic: If X is Interesting, any superset of X is interesting
- •What if the world is not monotonic?
  - For example, Interesting({1,2}) = Yes but Interesting({1,2,3,4}) = No
- •Then DD may still find *an* Interesting subset
  - Thought questions: Will it be minimal? How long will it take?

## Ambiguity

(a 481 student found this counterexample!)

 Unambiguous: the interesting failure is caused by one subset (and not independently by two disjoint subsets)

- •What if the world is ambiguous?
- •Then DD (as presented here) may not find an Interesting subset
- •Hint: trace DD on Interesting( $\{2, 8\}$ ) = yes, Interesting( $\{3, 6\}$ ) = yes, but Interesting( $\{2, 8\} \cap \{3, 6\}$ ) = no.
  - DD returns {2,6} :-(.



## Inconsistency

- Consistent: We can evaluate every subset to see if it is Interesting or not
  - What if the world is not consistent?
- Example: we are minimizing changes to a program to find patches that make it crash

Some subsets may not build or run!

- Integration Failure: a change may depend on earlier changes
- Construction failure: some subsets may yield programs with parse errors or type checking errors (cf. HW3!)
- Execution failure: program executes strangely or does not terminate, test outcome is unresolved

## **Delta Debugging Thread Schedules**

- •DejaVu tool by IBM, CHESS by Microsoft, etc.
- •The thread schedule becomes part of the input
- •We can control when the scheduler preempts one thread



## **Differences in Thread Scheduling**

- Starting point
  - Passing run
  - Failing run
- Differences (for t1)
  - T1 occurs in passing run at time 254
  - T1 occurs in failing run at time 278



## **Differences in Thread Scheduling**

•We can build new test cases by mixing the two schedules to isolate the relevant differences



## Does It Work?

#### •Test #205 of SPEC JVM98 Java Test Suite

- Multi-threaded raytracer program
- Simple race condition
- Generate random schedules to find a passing schedule and a failing schedule (to get started)
- Differences between passing and failing
  - 3,842,577,240 differences (!)
  - Each difference moves a thread switch time by +1 or -1

## DD Isolates One Difference After 50 Probes (< 30 minutes)



60

## **Pin-Pointing The Failure**

•The failure occurs iff thread switch #33 occurs at yield point 59,772,127 (line 91) instead of 59,772,126 (line 82) → race on which variable?

```
public class Scene { ...
25
        private static int ScenesLoaded = 0;
44
        (more methods...)
 45
        private
81
        int LoadScene(String filename) {
 82
             int OldScenesLoaded = ScenesLoaded;
 84
                                                          should be
             (more initializations...)
85
             infile = new DataInputStream(...);
91
                                                           "Critical
             (more code...)
92
                                                          Section"
             ScenesLoaded = OldScenesLoaded + 1;
130
                                                          but is not
             System.out.println("" +
131
                  ScenesLoaded + " scenes loaded.");
132
            . . .
134
135
733
```

## Minimizing Input

- •GCC version 2.95.2 on x86/Linux with certain optimizations crashed on a legitimate C program
  - Note: GCC crashes, not the program!

```
double mult( double z[], int n )
    int i;
    int j;
    for (j= 0; j< n; j++) {
        i= i+j+1;
        z[i]=z[i]*(z[0]+0);
    }
    return z[n];
}
int copy(double to[], double from[], int count)
£
    int n= (count+7)/8;
    switch (count%8) do {
        case 0: *to++ = *from++;
        case 7: *to++ = *from++;
        case 6: *to++ = *from++;
        case 5: *to++ = *from++;
        case 4: *to++ = *from++:
        case 3: *to++ = *from++;
        case 2: *to++ = *from++;
        case 1: *to++ = *from++;
    } while (--n > 0);
    return (int)mult(to,2);
}
int main( int argc, char *argv[] )
£
    double x[20], y[20];
    double *px= x;
    while (px < x + 20)
        *px++ = (px-x)*(20+1.0);
    return copy(y,x,20);
}
```

Figure 4: A program that crashes GCC-2.95.2.

## Delta Debugging to the Rescue

•With 731 probes (< 60 seconds), minimized to:

```
t(double z[], int n) {
  int i, j;
 for (;;j++) { i=i+j+1; z[i]=z[i]*(z[0]+0); }
  return z[n]; }
```

- •GCC has many options
  - Run DD again to find which are relevant

-ffloat-store -fforce-mem -fno-inline -fkeep-static-consts -fstrength-reduce -fcse-skip-blocks -fgcse -fschedule-insns2 -fcaller-saves *–fmove-all-movables –freduce-all-givs* 

-fstrict-aliasing

- -fno-default-inline -fforce-addr -finline-functions -fno-function-cse -fthread-jumps -frerun-cse-after-loop -fexpensive-optimizations *—ffunction-sections* -funroll-loops
- -fno-defer-pop -fomit-frame-pointer *-fkeep-inline-functions* -ffast-math -fcse-follow-jumps -frerun-loop-opt -fschedule-insns -fdata-sections -funroll-all-loops -fno-peephole

https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/xyzhang/spring07/Papers/hdd.pdf

## Go Try It Out: Eclipse Integration

#### **Automated Debugging in Eclipse**

We realized two Eclipse plug-ins that automatically determine why your program fails:

• in the input and

in the program history.

These plug-ins integrate with JUnit tests: As soon as a test fails, they automatically determine the failure cause. You don't even have to press a button—just wait for the diagnosis.

#### **DDinput: Failure-Inducing Input**

Find out which part of the input causes your program to fail:

The program fails when the input contains <SELECT>.

This plug-in applies Delta Debugging to program inputs, as described in Simplifying and Isolating Failure-Inducing Input.

Available for download.

#### **DDchange: Failure-Inducing Changes**

Find out which change causes your program to fail:

The change in Line 45 makes the program fail.

This plug-in applies Delta Debugging to program changes, as described in Yesterday, my program worked. Today, it does not. Why?.

#### Available for download.

## Questions?

- •HW4 is due March 17
- •.. and consider starting on HW5 (DD)!



65